

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DIANE BOWARE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LEVI STRAUSS DISTRIBUTION CENTER,

et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:23-cv-00579-GMN-MDC

ORDER ADOPTING R&R

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (R&R), (ECF No. 43), of United States Magistrate Judge Maximiliano D. Couvillier III, which recommends the Court dismiss this case with prejudice.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections to the R&R were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (*See* Min. Order, ECF No. 43) (setting June 17, 2024, deadline for objections). The Court therefore

1 ADOPTS the R&R in full.

2 Accordingly,

3 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 43), is
4 **ADOPTED in full.**

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED with prejudice.**

6 **The Court kindly instructs the Clerk of Court to close this case.**

7 Dated this 20 day of June, 2024.

8
9 
10 _____
11 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
12 United States District Court
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25